## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

FICE

7. Juny 10-110-U

In re PATENT APPLICATION of

SHIFF et al.

Appln. No.: 09/006,999

Filed: January 14, 1998

Title: APPARATUS FOR THE SEPARATION . . .

Group Art Unit: 2856

Examiner: Cygan

June 13, 2000

## **AMENDMENT**

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

RECEIVED

JUN 15 2000

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800

In response to the Office Action issued December 13, 1999, please enter the following amendments and consider the following remarks.

## IN THE CLAIMS:

Cancel claim 3 without préjudice.

In claim 4, line 1, change "3" to --1--.

## **REMARKS**

Claims 1, 4, 6-8 and 10-12 are pending. Reconsideration is requested.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-8 and 10-13 were rejected under 35 USC § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Borchardt et al. in view of Leu (USP 5,866,071). It is noted that there is no claim 13 pending. Claim 3 has been cancelled. With respect to the remaining claims, this rejection is traversed for the following reasons.

The Examiner states that with respect to claims 1 and 3, Borchardt teaches the claimed invention except for the use of a particulate filtrate column. Applicants respectfully